Electronic Journal of University of Aden for Basic and Applied Sciences



EJUA-BA Vol. 4 No. 1 (2023) https://doi.org/10.47372/ejua-ba.2023.1.225

ISSN: 2708-0684



## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# PREVALENCE OF *ENTAMOEBA GINGIVALIS* IN PATIENTS WITH GINGIVITIS AND PERIODONTITIS AND HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS

Noor Mohammed Abdulla Afara<sup>1</sup> and Adel Jumaan Awadh Binsaad<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Aden University, Yemen

\*Corresponding author: Adel Jumaan Awadh Binsaad; E-mail: adelbinsaad177@gmail.com

Received: 26 February 2023 / Accepted: 21 March 2023 / Published online: 31 March 2023

## Abstract

The present study was carried out to determine the prevalence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* among 300 (156 males and 144 females) participants divided into three groups as follows: 100 patients with periodontitis (periodontitis group), 100 patients with gingivitis (gingivitis group), and 100 individuals with healthy gingiva (healthy control group), using wet mount technique with physiological saline and Giemsa stain. The overall prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was 18.3% and the highest prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was among periodontitis group (29%) followed by gingivitis group (18%), and healthy group (8%). The highest prevalence (23.7%) of *E. gingivalis* was found among the age group 40-49 years. Infection was highly significant in males than females (23.7% vs.12.5%). With regard to risk factors, the prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was common in nontooth brush users, smokers, qat chewers, and diabetic patients and there were significant differences between tooth-brush users and non-tooth brush users, smokers and non-smokers, qat chewers and non-gat chewers, and between diabetic and non-diabetic patients and occurrence of *E. gingivalis*. We conclude that the higher prevalence of *E. gingivalis* in periodontal disease compared to healthy individuals may be an indicator of the potential role *E. gingivalis* in the pathogenesis of periodontal diseases.

Keywords: Prevalence, Entamoeba gingivalis, Periodontal disease, Aden.

## Introduction

Entamoeba gingivalis was the first commensal parasite detected in the human oral cavity. It is a cosmopolitan anaerobic amoebic protozoan [1], which exists as trophozoite form that has variable size ranging from 10 to 35  $\mu$ m in diameter. *E. gingivalis* has no cyst form; therefore, it spreads either directly via direct contacts, by kissing or indirectly through contaminated food, or by sharing eating utensils [2] *E. gingivalis* is found most frequently in tartar between and around the teeth, tonsillar crypts and gingival tissues, particularly in suppurative, inflammatory processes, due to its preference for anaerobic environments [3].

*E. gingivalis* is more commonly found in persons with bad oral hygiene. Also, *E. gingivalis* is generally considered as an oral commensal but reports show that it displays a pathogenic role in periodontal disease [4]. In addition, *E. gingivalis* has been detected in conditions of immune suppression. At present, there is evidence to propose an association of *E. gingivalis* in pathogenesis of

periodontal disease [4, 5] and several studies reported that E. gingivalis contributes to the initiation and progression of gingivitis and periodontitis. Moreover, the pathogenic perspective of *E. gingivalis* has been proven experimentally by the development of lesions in immunosuppressed animals [4]. However, there are controversies concerning its pathogenicity characteristic as this trophozoite is commonly found in oral cavity of healthy individuals and with gingivitis as well, and several authors consider E. gingivalis to be opportunistic [4, 6]. Accordingly, this data opens a scientific gate for more research to discover the pathophysiology of gingivitis and periodontitis. Data on the prevalence of this parasite remains limited in many developing countries including Yemen, and due to controversies on infectivity of these parasite, its correlation to periodontal diseases, and to its public health importance, this study was carried out to determine the prevalence of E. gingivalis and its association with some factors among patients with and without periodontal disease attending three dental clinics in Aden governorate, Yemen.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Study Design

A case control Study design was conducted for eight months from February to September 2022.

#### Study Setting

This study was carried out in three dental clinics in Aden governorate, Yemen; the first two were dental internship specialized clinic, oral surgical clinic, faculty of dentistry university of Aden and the last one dental clinic of Al-Gamhoria Modern General Hospital.

#### **Study Population**

Three hundred subjects in total who attended the abovementioned clinics were enrolled in this study during the study period. The study participants were divided into three groups:100 individuals with healthy gingiva (healthy control group); 100 patients with gingivitis (gingivitis group); and 100 patients who presented with periodontitis (periodontitis group). The individuals with gingivitis and those with periodontitis represented the "case group". The control group was matched with the case group in terms of age and sex. A random selection of the patients was carried out. Subjects were categorized according to their clinical examination carried out by the help of dental specialist and according to the recently guidelines to classification of periodontal disease [7, 8,9].

#### **Exclusion** Criteria

The presence of one of the following criteria resulted in exclusion of the potential participant from the study: patients under 18 years' old, previous history of periodontal treatment (scaling or root planning) in the six months prior to enrollment, recent use of antimicrobial agents within the preceding three months, pregnancy, and immunocompromised.

#### Sample Size

Sample size for a Case-control study of 300 was determined on the basis of calculations done using Epitools - Epidemiological Calculators accessible without restraint online (https://epitools.ausvet.com.au/).

#### **Data Collection**

A pretested, structured questionnaire was used to collect data through a direct face to face interview of the participants. The first section of the questionnaire was designed to elicit the demographic characteristic such as age, gender, and educational level, and oral hygiene measures such as tooth and miswak tooth brushing, and social habits of the participants such as smoking, qat chewing, and diabetic mellitus were also included. The second section was utilized to record the laboratory investigations correlated to parasites identification.

## **Laboratory Methods**

#### Specimen Collection and Processing

Under aseptic condition and by the help of dentist a specimen of dental plaque and/or calculus were collected according to [10]. Once collection was complete the specimens were transferred to the parasitology department at National Center of Public Health Laboratories /Aden, within one hour of collection and immediately processed to maintain protozoan viability and avoid the trophozoites lysis.

#### **Microscopic Examination**

The microscopic examination is carried out by an expert. Each specimen was divided in two parts one was used to prepare wet mount and the second to prepare smear for Giemsa staining.

#### Wet mount examination

Using sterile Pasteur pipette a drops of a diluted specimen were placed on clean microscopic slides (25.4x 76.2 mm) and a coverslip (24x 50 mm) was applied on the top, and the material spreads by pressure on the coverslip. This prepared a thin film which was then examined immediately with a light microscope at 10X and 40X. The identification of *E. gingivalis* was established by its shape depending on the expansion of pseudopodia formation, and sluggish movement [11]. Three smears of wet mount method for each samples used to strength the chance of detection of parasites.

#### Giemsa staining

Thick smear were prepared on clean microscopic slide and allowed to dry. It was then stained with commercially available Giemsa stain (Techno pharmchem, India) diluted (1:50, vol/vol); (For a 1:50, add 1ml Giemsa stock to 50 ml buffered water) for 50 min. Then the slide was gently washed under clean water and let air dried in vertical position, and scanned for *E. gingivalis* at 100X magnification. The characteristic morphologic features for *E. gingivalis* appear as irregular shaped with light red purple cytoplasm and an intracellular vacuoles visualized as darker red – purple [12]. Three smears stained with Giemsa staining used for each sample to strength the chance of detection of parasite.

#### Ethical considerations

The study protocol was approved by "the Committee of Research and Postgraduate Studies, at the Biology department (Animal specialty) faculty of Education, Aden University. Permissions from the faculty of dental medicine, Al-Gamhoria Hospital Authority and national center of public health laboratories authorities, where the study was conducted was obtained. After providing a clear explanation, each participant's verbal consent was obtained before participation. Confidentiality of the study participants was maintained.

# EJUA Electronic Journal of University of Aden for Basic and Applied Sciences Vol. 4, No. 1, March 2023

https://ejua.net

#### **Data analysis**

The collected data were analyzed and processed by using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version of 20. For variables difference, chi-square tests, and P values were calculated, with differences at less than 0.05% being regarded as significant.

## **Results**

The total number of study participants enrolled in this study was 300 distributed as follows: healthy group (n=100), gingivitis group (n=100), and periodontitis group (n=100). Table 1 shows the participant's demographic characteristics.

The participant's ages ranged from  $\leq 29$  to  $\geq 60$  years. 21.7% (65/300) of the participants in this investigation were between 50-59 years old and about 52.0% were males and the about 44.3% of participants were illiterate and completed the primary education, and only 31.3% were completed university education.

# Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the study participants (n=300).

Variables	Categories	No.	%*	
Mean, SD Age groups (years)	$44.43 \pm 15.2 \\ \leq 29 \\ 30.39 \\ 40.49 \\ 50.59 \\ \geq 60$	59 56 59 65 61	19.7 18.7 19.7 21.7 20.3	
Gender	Gender Male Female		52.0 48.0	
Educational level	Educational Primary level Secondary University		15.3 29.0 24.3 31.3	

\* Percentages were taken from the total sample size (300).

Regarding risk factors such as oral hygiene and social habits like tooth and miswak brushing, smoking, qat chewing, and history of diabetes mellitus, table 2 shows that, more than third (36.7%;110/300) of participants were irregular tooth brush users, only 54 (18.0%) use tooth brush twice daily, and 66 (22.0%) were non- tooth brush users. About two third (65.3%; 196/300) were nonmiswak users, 90 (30%) were sporadically miswak users, and only 9 and 5 were twice and once per day miswak users, respectively. Majority of participants (81.3%; 244/300) were non-smokers and only 56 (18.7%) were smokers. On the other hand, two third of participants (66.7%; 200/300) were non-qat chewers and one third of participants (33.3%; 100/300) were qat chewers. 269 (89.7%) were non-diabetic and 31 (10.3%) were diabetics (Table 2).

Table 2: Risk factors among study participants
(n=300).

Variables	Categories	No.	%	
Encourance of	Twice	54	18.0	
tooth brushing	Once	70	23.3	
(doily)	Sporadic	110	36.7	
(dally)	Never	66	22.0	
E e	Twice	9	3.0	
Frequency of	Once	5	1.7	
miswak brusning	Sporadic	90	30.0	
(dally)	Never	196	65.3	
<u>Constant</u>	No	244	81.3	
Smoker	Yes	56	18.7	
Oat abouting	NO	200	66.7	
Qat chewing	Yes	100	33.3	
DM	No	269	89.7	
DM	Yes	31	10.3	

\* Percentages were taken from the total sample size (300) DM; Diabetic mellitus

As seen in table 3, the overall prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was 18.3% (55 out of 300). The highest prevalence rate of 29% (29 out of 100) was found among the periodontitis group followed by the gingivitis group with prevalence rate of 18% (18 out of 100), and the healthy group with lowest prevalence rate of 8% (8 out of 100). Statistically significant difference in *E. gingivalis* prevalence was reported upon comparing the healthy group to the gingivitis and periodontitis groups (p=0.001); (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Frequency of *Entamoeba gingivalis* among the<br/>study groups.

	E				
Variables	Posi	tive	Neg	p-*** value	
	No.	%**	No.	%**	
Healthy (n=100)	8	8	92	92	
Gingivitis (n=100)	18	18	82	82	
Periodontitis (n=100)	29	29	71	71	0.001
Total (n=300) NO.%*	55	18.3	245	81.7	

\* Percentages were taken from the total sample size (300)

\*\* Percentages were taken from the rows sample (100)

\*\*\* Chi-square test. statistically significant p < 0.05

Table 4. shows the prevalence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* according to demographic characteristics. The highest prevalence rate of 23.7% was reported among participants in the age group of 40-49 years old. The prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was higher in males (23.7%) than in females (12.5%), and the illiterate participants represented 17.4%, the primary 20.7 %, the secondary 23.3% and the university participants 12.8%. Statistically significant differences was found between gender and the presence of the parasite (p= 0.012). However, no significant association was found between the age and educational level, and the prevalence of *E. gingivalis*.

		Entamoeba gingivalis					
Variables	Categories	Positive (n=55)		Negative (n=245)		Total (n=300) No (%)*	P-value***
		No.	%**	No.	%**	110.(70)	
Age groups (years)	≤ 29 30-39 40-49 50-59 ≥60	7 7 14 14 13	11.9 12.5 23.7 21.5 21.3	52 49 45 51 48	88.1 87.5 76.3 78.5 78.7	59(19.7) 56(18.7) 59(19.7) 65(21.6) 61(20.3)	0.300
Gender	Male Female	37 18	23.7 12.5	119 126	76.3 87.5	156(52) 144(48)	0.012
Educational level	Illiterate Primary Secondary University	8 18 17 12	17.4 20.7 23.3 12.8	38 69 56 82	82.6 73.3 76.7 87.2	46(15.3) 87(29) 73(24.3) 94(31.4)	0.322

Table 4: Prevalence of Entamoeba gingivalis according to demographic characteristics.

\* Percentages were taken from the total sample size (300)

\*\* Percentages were taken from the rows total

\*\*\* Chi-square test. statistically significant p < 0.05

Regarding oral hygiene, social habits and history of diabetes mellitus, table 5 shows that, the highest prevalence rates of 31.8% and 18.2% were found among individuals who never used tooth brush and sporadically use tooth brush, respectively. While, low rates of 9.3% and 12.9% were found among those who use tooth brush twice and once per day, respectively. The difference between values was significant (p= 0.006).

Among twice per day Miswak users, 3 were found to be positive (33.3%), and among those sporadically and never use Miswak, the prevalence rates were 23.3% and 15.8%, respectively, as shown in table 5; there was no statistical significant (p > 0.05). Smokers revealed high prevalence rate (41.1%) as compare to non-smokers (32.1%). There was significant difference between smokers and non-smokers in the prevalence of *E.* gingivalis (p<0.001). In Qat chewers, 29% were found to be positive with *E.* gingivalis, while 13% of non-qat chewers were found to be positive. Also, the difference between values was significant (p= 0.001).

Higher prevalence rate (35.5%) was found among diabetics as compare to non-diabetics (16.4%). Also, significant difference between diabetics and non-diabetics was found in prevalence of *E. gingivalis* (*p*= 0.009) as shown in table 5.

			Entamoe	eba gingivalis			
Variables	Categories	Positive (n=55)		Negative (n=245)		Total (n=300) No (%)*	P-***value
		No.	%**	No.	%**	110.(70)	
Frequency of tooth	Twice	5	9.3	49	90.7	54(18)	
hmushing	Once	9	12.9	61	87.1	70(23.3)	
( daily)	Sporadic	20	18.2	90	81.8	110(36.7)	0.006
( dany)	Never	21	31.8	45	68.2	66(22)	0.000
Engagonary of migwoly	Twice	3	33.3	6	66.7	9(3)	
Frequency of miswak	Once	0	0	5	100	5(1.7)	
orusining (doily)	Sporadic	21	23.3	69	76.7	90(30)	0.196
(dany)	Never	31	15.8	165	84.2	196 (65.3)	0.180
Smoking	No	32	32.1	212	86.9	244(81.3)	
_	Yes	23	41.1	33	58.9	56(18.7)	< 0.001
Qat chewing	NO	26	13	174	87	200(66.7)	0.001
Ŭ	Yes	29	29	71	71	100(33.3)	0.001
D M	No	44	16.4	225	83.6	269(89.7)	0.000
	Yes	11	35.5	20	64.5	31(10.3)	0.009

 Table 5: Prevalence of Entamoeba gingivalis according to risk factors

\* Percentages were taken from the total sample size (300)

\*\* Percentages were taken from the rows total

\*\*\* Chi-square test. statistically significant p < 0.05

DM. Diabetic mellitus

https://ejua.net

#### **Discussion**

The results of this study showed that fifty five (18.3%) out of the 300 total precipitants were evidenced to be positive for E. gingivalis occurrence. Compared with the previous studies from different countries in which the microscopic method was used to identify E. gingivalis, more or less similar results were reported. Younis and Edamame [13] from Libya detected E. gingivalis in 17% out of 100 examined samples; Al- Najar and Adnan [14] from Iraq reported a prevalence rate of 28% for E. gingivalis among their participants; in addition, Hassan et al. [15] from Egypt, reported a prevalence rate of 25.8% among120 participants. On the other hand, in DNA-based studies, more higher prevalence rates were observed. As a study from Jordan, Yaseen et al. [16], found a higher prevalence of 71.7% among 237 participants. These differences in prevalence rate could be explained by differences in diagnostic sensitivity among the methods used, small sample sizes, and possible bias in selection of study subjects [15, 16, 17]. Although, the prevalence of E. gingivalis varies in different countries but remains higher in those patients with periodontal disease as this study showed [18].

According to the three study groups, in this study the distribution of positive cases with E. gingivalis was as follows: the highest prevalence rate of 29% was among the periodontitis group (n=29/100), followed by the gingivitis group (18% & n=18/100), and the healthy group had the lowest prevalence rate (8% & n=8/100). In accordance to our results, Hassan et al. [15] and Garcia et al. [17] reported that the prevalence of E. gingivalis differs among patients with periodontitis, gingivitis and healthy individuals but remains higher in those patients with periodontal disease compared with healthy control group, as this study reported. Therefore, it was hypothesized that this protozoa might be involved in the pathogenesis and progression of periodontal disease (periodontitis and gingivitis) [16, 11]. Consequently, the results of this study were compatible with these results, as the patients with periodontal disease in this study were more susceptible to E. gingivalis. This was emphasized by the fact that 23.5% of participants in periodontitis group and gingivitis group had E. gingivalis, and only 8% of healthy group infected with E. gingivalis. This could be indicate the role of *E. gingivalis* in pathogenesis and progression of periodontal disease. However, some studies showed no significant correlation between periodontal disease and the presence of *E. gingivalis* [3].

In the present study, the occurrence *E. gingivalis* infection was reported in only 8% of the healthy individuals group. This results were compatible with the results from Iraq where the prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was 8% among healthy individuals [19], and India, with a rate of 4% among healthy controls [20]. Since, *E. gingivalis* detected in the buccal cavity of healthy individuals, some researchers suppose that this parasite could be opportunistic, that is, capable of proliferating in

a gingival environment modified by periodontal disease [9, 13]. In addition, Hassan *et al.* [15] concluded that the high occurrence of *E. gingivalis* among diseased groups compared to the healthy group could be related to the presence of genetic variants for *E. gingivalis* rather than the immune status of the individuals. Accordingly, the result of this study could be explained by the presence of genetic proliferating variant of parasite in individuals with periodontal disease (periodontitis and gingivitis) in contrast to slowly multiplying variant in the healthy individuals group [21]. However, further studies using molecular approaches are needed to assess the molecular epidemiology of this oral parasite and to investigate whether variations in strains, have a significant contribution in health and disease [22].

Findings of this study indicated that the highest rate (23.7%) of *E. gingivalis* was found among participants aged between 40-49 years old, and the lowest rate (11.9%) was among participants aged between18-29 years old. These results are in line with the findings that *E. gingivalis* do not occur in small children and rarely found in older ones [23]. In addition, Gharavi *et al.* reported that the prevalence of *E. gingivalis* was related to an age higher than 20 years [24]. On the other hand, in agreement with our findings, Albuquerque *et al.* and Maybodi *et al.* [25] not found relationship between the age and colonization of *E. gingivalis.* The difference might be related to geographical distribution and period of study.

Regarding the gender of participants, this study showed high significant rate among males than in females for *E. gingivalis* prevalence (23.7% vs 12.5% respectively). This results agreed with [25] and differ from another study, in which both sexes were equally infected with *E. gingivalis* [24].

Although, a high prevalence rate (20.9%) was found in individuals with low-education (illiterate, primary , secondary educational level) compared with low prevalence rate (12.8%) in well-educated individuals (with university education), there was no statistically significant association between the low level of education and prevalence of the parasite(P=>0.05). In contrast, Hamad *et al.* [26] found a positive relationship between the presence of the parasite in the mouth of persons with low level of education. Majority of the participants (68.7%) in this study were with low level of education, therefore, the slightly high prevalence of *E. gingivalis* (18.3%) reported in this study might be due to the differences in the studied population's education level.

Regarding social habits such as tooth and miswak brushing, smoking, and qat chewing, in the current study, high prevalence rate was found among those who never use tooth brush (31.8%) and the lowest was among those who twice tooth brush users (9.3%). There were significant difference between twice tooth brush users and those who, once, sporadic and never tooth brush users (p=0.006). This could be explained by that bad oral hygiene encourages inflammation of the mucous membrane and periodontal diseases. In addition, bad oral hygiene favors the accumulation of food debris and the development of dental plaque, which is the basis for the growth of *E. gingivalis* [27].

For more than 1,000 years, Moslems all over the world were using chewing sticks (Miswak) for cleaning teeth, made from the plant Salvadora persica. For religious and cultural reasons, miswak use is firmly established and widespread in Yemen, Saudi Arabia and most other Muslim countries. It has been shown that miswak use was at least as effective as tooth brushing for reducing plaque and gingivitis, it is beneficial for prevention/treatment of periodontal disease [28].

However, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of *E. gingivalis* between miswak users and non-miswak users, which may be due to small number of miswak users (n=9) compared with non-miswak users (n=196) in this study.

The present study revealed highest prevalence rate among smokers (41.1%) as compare to non-smokers (32.1%). There were significant difference between smokers and non-smokers and occurrence of the parasite (p=<0.001). Tobacco reduce the synthesis of IgG and IgM by plasma cells, as well as the phagocytic activity and chemotactic response of gingival neutrophils, so the host's defense against bacteria in the gingival pocket is substantially impaired [29]. The use of tobacco increase the risk of periodontitis and gingivitis [30].

Oat is known also as khat or Catha edulis Forsk. Oat leaves contain more than 40 alkaloids, glycosides, tannis, terpenoids and others. Qat leaves contain alkaloids such as cathine and cathinone which have psychostimulation effect in the form of euphoria and excitement [31]. Millions of men and women practice this habit in Yemen society. The effects include periodontal tissue, keratotic white lesions, keratinization of nonkeratinized oral mucosa, epithelial dysplasia and might be a potential cause of oral malignancy especially when accompanied by alcohol and tobacco consumption [32]. Furthermore, qat consumption may affect the periodontal tissue and can cause damage to the periodontal ligament as pocketing and gum recession [33]. The result of the present study in accordance with previous works that implicated qat to enhanced periodontal disease, where qat chewers patients show higher prevalence (29%) than non-qat chewers (26%). There was significant difference between qat chewers and non-qat chewers and occurrence of diseases.

Many mechanisms exclusive to diabetes may contribute to the increased risk of infection in diabetic patients. Higher glucose level in blood and urine encourage and promote the growth and multiplication of pathogenic microorganisms [34]. There was significant difference (p=0.009) in the occurrence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* between diabetic and non-diabetic patients. This result was in agreement with Ibrahim and Abbas [35] who found a significant high rate of *E. gingivalis* in diabetic patients. On the contrary, other study found no significant difference in the occurrence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* between diabetic and non-diabetic patients, which may be due to the small sample size [16].

## **Conclusions:**

We conclude that the higher prevalence of *E. gingivalis* in periodontal disease compared to healthy individuals may be an indicator of the potential role *E. gingivalis* in the pathogenesis of periodontal diseases.

## References

- Z.A. Bhutta, R.E. Black RE, "Global maternal, newborn, and child health so near and yet so far", *N Engl J Med*, 369 (23):2226-35, 2013.
- [2] B.J.Bogitsh, C.E. Carter, T.N.Oeltmann, "General characteristics of the Trematoda", *Human parasitology, 4th edn. Academic Press*, Waltham, 153-178, 2013.
- [3] M. Mielnik-Błaszczak, J. Rzymowska, A. Michałowski, A. Skawińska-Bednarczyk, J. Błaszczak, "*Entamoeba gingivalis*-prevalence and correlation with dental caries in children from rural and urban regions of Lublin Province, Eastern Poland", *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*, 25(4), 656-658, 2018.
- [4] L.Yucel-Lindberg, T. Båge, "Inflammatory mediators in the pathogenesis of periodontitis", *Expert Rev Mol Med*,15:e7. https://doi.org/10.1017/erm.2013.8, 2013.
- [5] M. Bonner, M. Fresno, N. Gironès, N. Guillén, J. Santi-Rocca, "Reassessing the Role of *Entamoeba* gingivalisin Periodontitis", *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.*; 8:379, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00003
- [6] F. Bhaijee, D. Bell, "Entamoeba gingivalisin Acute Osteomyelitis of the Mandible", Case Rep Med,357301,2011. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/357303
- S.Murakami, B.L.Mealey, A.Mariotti,
   I.L.C.Chapple, "Dental plaque-induced gingival conditions", *J Periodontol.*; 89 Suppl1:S17-S27, 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.17-0095</u>

- [8] J.G.Caton, G. Armitage, T. Berglundh, I.L.C. Chapple, S. Jepsen, K.S. Kornman, "A New Classification Scheme for Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions - Introduction and Key Changes From the 1999 Classification", J. Periodontol,89 (Suppl 1), S1–S8, 2018. doi: 10.1002/JPER.18-0157
- [9] P.N.Papapanou, M. Sanz, N. Buduneli, T. Dietrich, M. Feres, D.H. Fine, "Periodontitis: Consensus Report of Workgroup 2 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions", J. Clin. Periodontol. 45, S162–S170, 2018. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12946
- [10] E. Noor, F.H. Al-Bayaty, "A Review on predisposing and modifying factors of periodontal disease", *J Adv Med Res*, 5(1):5-23, 2015.
- [11] S. Ibrahim, R. Abbas, "Evaluation of Entamoeba gingivalisand Trichomonas tenaxin patients with periodontitis and gingivitis and its correlation with some risk factors", Journal of Baghdad College of Dentistry, 24(3):158-162, 2012.
- [12] Garcia, Lynne Shore, "Diagnostic medical parasitology: 6th edition", | Washington, DC: ASM Press, 2016.
- [13] E.Z. Younis and A.H. Adela H Elamami, "Prevalence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* and *Trichomonas tenax* among healthy and patients with periodontal disease in Benghazi Libya", EPH -*International Journal of Applied ScienceSpecial Conference Issue*. 1(1), 2019.
- [14] S. Al- Najar, E. Adnan, "The first record of *Entamoeba gingivalis* in Iraqi patients", *J Fac Med Baghdad*. 28(2):73-80, 1986.
- [15] S.S. Hassan, G.G. Madkour, R.W. Henin, S.W.F. Gad, A. El-Aal, "Is Entamoeba Gingivalis a Risk Factor for Periodontal Diseases? A Case-Control Study", *Perio J.* 2019;3(1):18-28, 2019.doe: 10.26810/perioj.2019.a3
- [16] A. Yaseen, A. Mahafzah, D. Dababseh, D. Taim, A.A. Hamdan, E. Al-Fraihat, "Oral colonization by Entamoeba gingivalis and Trichomonas tenax: A PCR-based study in health, gingivitis, and periodontitis", https://www.frontiersin.org/journal/cellular -and infection-microbilogy.2121;11/Artical 7820805.
- [17] G. García, F.Ramos, F.Martínez-Hernández, L. Hernández, J.Yáñez, P. Gaytán P, "A new subtype of *Entamoeba gingivalis*: "*E. gingivalis* ST2, kamaktli variant," *Parasitol Res.* 117(4):1277– 1284, 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-018-5798-6</u>

- [18] J. Ghabanchi, M. Zibaei, M.D. Afkar, A.H. Sarbazie, "Prevalence of oral *Entamoeba* gingivalisand *Trichomonas tenax*in patients with periodontal disease and healthy population in Sthiraz, Southern Iran", *Indian J Dent Res*, 21(1):89-91, 2010.
- [19] Z.H.A. Al-Jubory and A.K. Al-Hamairy, "Molecular Study of *Entamoeba gingivalis* and *Trichomonas tenax* Among Plaque Induced Gingivitis Patients in Babylon Province", *Annals of R.S.C.B.*, ISSN:1583-6258,25(6):14012-14027, 2021.
- [20] S. Ramamurthy, S. Sudarsana, S. Sivasamy, A. Ulaganathan, K. Rathinasamy, S. Sujatha Govindarajan, "Incidence of the oral protozoa *Entamoeba gingivalis* in a hospital-based population in South India A preliminary study ", J of Oral Disease Markers, 2: 1–4, 2018.
- [21] G. Hajishengallis, "Periodontitis: From Microbial Immune Subversion to Systemic Inflammation", *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 15, 30–44, 2015. doi: 10.1038/nri3785
- [22] M. A. El-Dardiry and S.H. Shabaan, "Detection of *Entamoeba gingivalis* trophozoites in patients suffering from gingivitis versus healthy subjects", *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 10(12) December, Pages: 222-226, 2016.
- [23] J. Sarowaska, D. Wojnicz, H. Kaczkowski, S. Jankowsk, "The occurrence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* and *Trichomonas tenax* in patients with periodontal disease, Immunosupression and genetic diseases", *Adv Clin Exp Med.* 13:291-297, 2004.
- [24] M.J. Gharavi, S. Hekmat, A. Ebrahimi, M.R. Jahani, "Buccal Cavity Protozoa in Patients Referred to the Faculty of Dentistry in Tehran, Iran", *Iranian J Parasitol*, 1(1):43-46, 2006.
- [25] R.L.C. Albuquerque, C.M. Melo, W.A. Santana, J.L. Ribeiro, F.A. Silva, "Incidence of *Entamoeba* gingivalisand *Trichomonas tenax* in samples of dental biofilm and saliva from patients with periodontal disease", *Rev Gaúcha Odontol*, 59(1):35-40, 2011.
- [26] S.S.Hamad, S.H.Mohammad, M.A.Kader, "Relationship between the Dental health and prevalence's *Trichomonas tenax* and *Entamoeba* gingivalisamong patients attending Dental Clinics in Kirkuk", *Journal of University of Babylon*, 20(5):1441–1447, 2012.
- [27] A. Ali Aiman, "Qat Habit in Yemen Society: A Causative Factor for Oral Periodontal Diseases", *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 4(3): 243–247, Mar, 2007.

- [28] A.A. Ali, A.K. Al-Sharabi, J.M. Aguirre, R. Nahas, "A study of 342 oral keratotic white lesions induced by takhzeen al-qat among 2500 Yemeni", *J Oral Pathol Med.* 33: 368-72, 2004.
- [29] M. Al-Qtaibi, "The miswak (chewing stick) and oral health. Studies on oral hygiene practices of urban Saudi Arabians", *Swed Dent J Suppl.* (167): 2-75, 2004.
- [30] W. M. Al-Saeed, "Pathogenic effect of *Entamoeba* gingivalis on gingival tissues of rats", *Al-Rafidain Dent J.* 3(1):70-73, 2003.
- [31] M. Derda, E. Hadaś, E. Antczak, W.J. Wojt, "Incidence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* in the oral cavity of students", *J Stoma*, 64(10):784-795, 2011.
- [32] I. Dhaifalah, J. Santavy, "Khat habit and its health effect", *A natural amphetamine. Biomed. Papers*, 148(1):11–15, 2004.
- [33] I.A.Hayawan, M.M.Bayoumi, "The prevalence of *Entamoeba gingivalis* and *Trichomonas tenax* in periodontal disease", *J Egypt Soc Parasitol*. 22:101-105, 1992.
- [34] I. Nocito-Mendoza, M.D.Vasconi-Correas, P. Ponce de León-Horianski, M.Zdero-Pandzich, *"Entamoeba gingivalisand Trichomonas tenaxin diabetic patients"*, *RCOE*, 8(1):19–23, 2003.
- [35] C.M.B.M. Norberg, P.C.Ribeiro, N.Satyro-Carvalho, F.G.Sanches, R.W. Carvalho, A.N. Norberg, "Entamoeba gingivalis(Gros, 1849) and Trichomonas tenax(Muller, 1773) oral infections in patients from Baixada Fluminense, province of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil", Science Journal of Public Health, 2(4):288-292, 2014. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjph.20140201.17

https://ejua.net

## مقالة بحثية

Pages 90-98

## مدى انتشار المتحولة اللثوية والعوامل المرتبطة بها بين مرضى التهاب اللثة والتهاب دواعم السن و الأشخاص الأصحاع

نور محمد عبدالله عفارة 1 و عادل جمعان عوض بن سعد 1·\*

<sup>1</sup> قسم الميكر وبيولوجي والطفيليات، كلية الطب والعلوم الصحية، جامعة عدن، اليمن

\* الباحث الممثَّل: عادل جمعان عوض بن سعد؛ البريد الالكتروني: gmail.com @gmail.com

استلم في: 26 فبراير 2023 / قبل في: 21 مارس 2023 / نشر في 31 مارس 2023

## المُلخّص

هذه الدراسة أجريت لتحديد مدى انتشار طفيل المتحولة اللثوية (Entamoeba gingivalis) بين 300 مشارك (156 ذكور و 144 إناث) موز عون على النحو التالي: 100 مريض يعانون من التهاب ما حول السن (مجموعة التهاب ما حول السن) و 100 مريض يعانون من التهاب اللثة (مجموعة التهاب ما حول السن) و 100 مريض يعانون من التهاب اللثة (مجموعة التهاب اللثة) و 100 مشارك لا يعانون من امراض اللثة أو مشاكل صحية مرتبطة بالتهاب ما حول السن (مجموعة الأصحاء). بأستعمال طريقة المعاب اللثة) و 100 مشارك لا يعانون من امراض اللثة أو مشاكل صحية مرتبطة بالتهاب ما حول السن (مجموعة الأصحاء). بأستعمال طريقة المسح المباشر وصبغة جمسا، بينت النتائج ان نسبة مدى الأنتشار للمتحولة اللثوية 18,3% وكانت أعلى نسبة أنتشار للطفيل هي 20% لدى المرضى المصابين بالتهاب اللثة ب 18% مجموعة الأصحاء بعرفي في 20% لدى المرضى المصابين بالتهاب اللثة ب 18% مجموعة الأصحاء بع%. أصد على نسبة أصحاب اللثة أصحاب الثقة بعادي المصابين بالتهاب اللثة بعائي وكانت أعلى نسبة أنتشار للطفيل أعلى نسبة أصحاب اللثة بعائي المرضى المصابين بالتهاب اللثة بعائي وكرد و 100 مشارك لا يعانون من امراض اللثقان و مدى الأنتشار للمتحولة اللثوية 18,3% وكانت أعلى نسبة أنتشار للطفيل من 20% لدى المرضى المصابين بالتهاب ما حول السن يعقبها المرضى المصابين بالتهاب اللثة بعال» ثم مجموعة الأصحاء بع%. أعلى نسبة أصابة (23,5%) كانت عند الفئة العمرية من 40 الى 49 سنة. وكانت أعلى في الذكور مقارت مع وجود فارق معنوي. وكان مدى انتشار الطفيل شائعا لدى غير مستخدمي فرشاة الأسنان، المدخنين، الماضغون للقات والمصابون بداء السكري والمنان وغير المستخدمين فرشاة الأسنان وبين المدخنين وغير المدخنين والماضغون للقات وغير الماضغون وين هذاك فروقا معنوي والمصابون بداء السكري والغير مصابون وبين حدوث الأصابة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: مدى الأنتشار، المتحولة اللثوية، امراض ما حول السن، عدن.

## How to cite this article:

N. M. A. Afara and A. J. A. Binsaad, "PREVALENCE OF ENTAMOEBA GINGIVALIS IN PATIENTS WITH GINGIVITIS AND PERIODONTITIS AND HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS", *Electron. J. Univ. Aden Basic Appl. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 90-98, Mar. 2023. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.47372/ejua-ba.2023.1.225</u>



Copyright © 2023 by the Author(s). Licensee EJUA, Aden, Yemen. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC 4.0) license.